



**CDBG 2014 Program Year
Consolidated Annual Performance & Evaluation Report
(CAPER)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	Pg 2
Summary of CDBG Objectives and Assessment of Progress	Pg 3
Citizen Participation	Pg 7
Housing Needs and Objectives	Pg 8
Fair Housing	Pg 11
Housing Rehabilitation Program	Pg 12
Homeless Continuum of Care	Pg 13
Community Development	Pg 17
Public Services	Pg 19
HOPWA	Pg 20
Non Homeless Special Needs	Pg 20

ATTACHMENTS

Financial Summary Report (PR26) and Explanation of Adjustments
CAPER Notice
Section 3 Notices
Needs Worksheets:
Housing Completion Goals
Housing Needs Table
Specific Objectives



2014 Program Year CAPER

The CPMP Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report includes Narrative Responses to CAPER questions that CDBG, grantees must respond to each year in order to be compliant with the Consolidated Planning Regulations. The grantee must submit a Financial Summary Report (PR26).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) provide an explanation for the use of federal funds granted to the City of Manhattan (City) by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) under the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program. All 2014 CDBG projects and activities provided Area Benefit or Direct Benefit to Limited Clientele and documented Low and Moderate Income individuals and households.

In the 2010-2014 CDBG Strategic Plan, the City developed Objectives to meet identified housing and community development needs that included sustaining affordable housing; improving the livability and safety of neighborhoods; supporting community facilities for Low- and Moderate-income residents; supporting public services that meet the needs of Low and Moderate Income persons and families and supporting activities that create and sustain quality jobs. In support of these Objectives, the City utilized the 2014 CDBG allocation of \$618,545.00 in addition to surplus CDBG funds from previous program years to deliver improvements and public services to the Manhattan community.

The Community Development projects included continuing the owner occupied Housing Rehabilitation Program, the Douglass Center Improvements Project, the Flint Hills Breadbasket Improvements, and the Neighborhood Intersection Improvements Projects. CDBG funds also supported program administration which included fair housing activities and a planning study for development of a Public Services Center in addition to public services activities.

The 2014 allocation funded four public services programs: a court appointed special advocate supervisor project through Sunflower CASA; support for Sunflower CASA Stepping Stones – Child Advocacy Center for sexually abused children; support for Housing and Credit Counseling, Inc. to provide professional counseling to LMI families and individuals in financial difficulty; and a rent and utility support project through Shepherd’s Crossing to keep at risk families and individuals from becoming homeless.

The City of Manhattan acted as the lead agency to oversee all aspects of the CDBG program, which included regulatory compliance, citizen participation, fair housing activities and project management. The City’s Community Development Department manages all CDBG undertakings, facilitates public involvement in the CDBG program and conducts all reporting of

CDBG activities to HUD. Administrative practices are continually refined in order to effectively manage CDBG projects and activities, and ensure compliance with all CDBG and applicable HUD requirements.

2014 CDBG Investment Summary	2014 Allocation	Surplus	CDBG funds used	Matching Funds *
Administration & Planning	\$82,800		\$81,904	
Fair Housing Activities	\$500		\$277	
Community House Planning Study ****	\$21,467	\$2,608	\$23,147	
Housing Rehabilitation Program **	\$124,481	\$18,002	\$147,125	\$3,930
Neighborhood Intersection Improvements **				
2013 - 17th & Yuma Intersection		\$169,940	\$169,940	
2014 - 11th & Fremont Intersection ***	\$129,825	\$70,560	\$200,385	\$247,045
Public Facilities:				
Flint Hills Breadbasket Improvements **	\$90,000		\$87,660	\$7,700
Douglass Community Center Improvements **	\$90,000		\$55,153	
Public Services:				
Housing and Credit Counseling, Inc.	\$6,800		\$6,545	
Shepherd's Crossing	\$50,000		\$50,000	
Sunflower CASA Advocate	\$11,137		\$11,137	
Sunflower CASA Stepping Stones - CAC	\$11,535		\$11,535	
2014 CDBG Program Totals	\$618,545	\$261,110	\$844,808	\$258,675

* Matching funds were provided from beneficiaries, Sub-Recipients or from City Departments.

** Final activity locations are underway, with estimated totals included. Any remaining budgeted funds will be used on Grant Program Year 2015 projects.

*** The funds will be leveraged with City Funds to complete the improvements by the end of December of 2015.

**** The Community House Planning Study was a planning study to determine the feasibility of converting a Historic Building into a multiple Social Services Center and was included in Administration costs.

SUMMARY OF CDBG OBJECTIVES & ASSESSMENT OF PROGRESS

In the 2010-2014 Strategic Plan, the City of Manhattan (City) identified five overall objectives for meeting the identified housing and community development needs between 2010 and 2014:

- 1) Sustain affordable housing opportunities by preserving the existing housing stock and supporting the creation of affordable permanent housing in standard condition.
- 2) Improve the livability and safety of neighborhoods through infrastructure improvements.
- 3) Support community facilities that improve the quality of life for Low- and Moderate-income residents.
- 4) Support public services that meet the needs of Low and Moderate Income persons and families.
- 5) Support activities that create and sustain quality jobs.

The 2014 CDBG projects supported the first four objectives. All 2014 CDBG funds were applied to eligible activities and projects meeting the CDBG National Objective of benefit to Low and Moderate Income (LMI) persons.

- Housing Rehabilitation Project beneficiaries were income qualified using 24 CFR Part 5 guidelines.
- The Flint Hills Breadbasket Improvements project provided benefit to a facility that serves only qualified low-income persons. The Douglass Center project provides Area Benefit by improving accessibility for an LMI neighborhood facility.
- Public Service Projects benefited one hundred percent (100%) LMI persons and households who belonged to Limited Clientele groups. Public Services projects provided benefit to abused and neglected children, homeless and nearly homeless persons in addition to income qualified households.
- The Neighborhood Intersection Improvements at 17th and Yuma Streets, and at 11th and Fremont Streets provided Area Benefit by addressing safety and ADA concerns to low and moderate income neighborhoods, verified using 2010 Census Block Group data in location showing block groups with 51% or more LMI households.

Summary of Projects & Public Services

CDBG Program Administration and Housing Rehabilitation Administration

CDBG funds supported all CDBG Program Administration and Planning in the 2014 grant year. During the 2014 Program Year, the Community Development Department trained new staff for the CDBG Program Administrator position and the City undertook a planning study of the Historic Community House building to determine the feasibility of converting it into a social service center.

Housing Rehabilitation Project

Surplus funds from the 2013 grant year were combined with the 2014 funds to rehabilitate 14 family owned housing units. This project included comprehensive rehabilitation of single-family housing units occupied by Low to Moderate Income home owners; and emergency repairs or accessibility modifications to single-family housing units and mobile homes. Existing 2014 project sites are expected to be completed by the end of October, 2015. Any remaining undedicated surplus 2014 funds will be invested in 2015 Program Year Comprehensive Housing Rehabilitation.

Flint Hills Breadbasket Improvements

The City provided CDBG funds to renovate the Flint Hills Breadbasket, which included enlarging the client waiting room, installing fans to preserve food in the warehouse, increase energy efficiency, and provide climate control for staff and clients.

Douglass Community Center Complex

The Douglass Community Center Complex originally identified several building updates to both the Community Center building and the Annex. Cost estimates were more than expected for all aspects, so the project has been divided into 2 phases with one being completed in 2014 and the other completed in 2015 with a combination of 2014 and 2015 funds.

Neighborhood Intersection Improvements

Intersection improvements for pedestrians and traffic in LMI neighborhoods were identified during an internal consultation and planning process in 2012 for the 2013 Grant Year. Priority was given to opportunities to create safe connections to schools and parks for the residents of these neighborhoods. While the 17th and Yuma site was underway in the 2013 Program Year, citizen feedback required re-design of the planned improvements. The project was eventually bid out in the 2014 Grant Year and completed entirely with 2013 grant year funds. The 11th and Fremont Intersection improvement was rescheduled for the 2014 grant year and has been awarded. It is expected to be completed in 2015 with a combination of City funds and 2013 and 2014 grant year funds.

Shepherd's Crossing, Inc.

CDBG funded Shepherd's Crossing, Inc. Rent and Utility Assistance project to prevent individuals from becoming homeless by providing financial assistance and counseling to LMI households. These households were at risk of immediate homelessness due to an eviction notice or other housing emergency.

Housing and Credit Counseling, Inc. (HCCI)

CDBG funds were used to provide HUD approved comprehensive consumer credit and housing counseling for low-income families who are financially over extended due to job loss, divorce, medical issues or other reasons. This counseling keeps LMI families in their homes and promotes financial stability.

Sunflower CASA Advocate

CDBG funded the Sunflower CASA Advocate supervisor project, which provides staff that provide advocacy for children with court cases and train court-appointed volunteer advocates for abused and neglected children.

Sunflower CASA Stepping Stones – CAC

CDBG funded the Sunflower CASA Stepping Stones – Child Advocacy Center project, which provides services specifically to sexual abused children and their non-offending care givers.

Managing the Process

The City of Manhattan acted as the lead agency to oversee all aspects of the CDBG program, which included regulatory compliance, citizen participation, fair housing activities and project management. The Community Development Department is responsible for administration of the CDBG program and manages all CDBG undertakings, facilitates public involvement in the CDBG program and conducts all reporting of CDBG activities to HUD.

In 2014, the City reviewed and revised the Citizen Participation Plan (CPP) to clarify and increase efficiency of administering the CDBG Program activities. Included in the updated CPP were steps the City would take to ensure Public Participation in any possible Section 108 applications.

Institutional Structure and Monitoring

All CDBG projects were conducted by City of Manhattan and directly monitored by Community Development staff. The City continues to refine administrative practices in order to effectively manage CDBG projects and activities, and ensure compliance with all CDBG and applicable HUD requirements.

For the 2014 Program Year, four projects were subject to Davis Bacon prevailing wage requirements. Of those, two were subject to Section 3. These obligations were included in the terms of the contract and were monitored for compliance. All general contractors were checked for an active registration in the federal System for Award Management (SAM.gov) and all contractors provided the appropriate certifications of wages paid and hiring. No compliance actions were needed to be taken by the City. The City also published a notice of Opportunity for Work in the Manhattan Mercury to alert eligible Section 3 persons of HUD funded construction projects in the community on September 28, 2014 and March 22, 2015.

The public services projects provided monthly reports of progress and demographic data for households and persons served. Additionally, agencies received monitoring visits in January of 2015, in which files were reviewed for compliance with HUD guidelines including income verification, consistency with project objectives and other documentation of services delivered. Agencies were found to be in compliance with agreements for services and CDBG national objectives and program goals.

In 2014, the City of Manhattan was randomly chosen for an on-site monitoring review of the City's CDBG program. The monitoring review resulted in two findings that addressed properly documenting Area Benefit for one project, and correct verification of eligibility of contractors and sub-contractors in accordance with 24 CFR 24 Part 24.

The City resolved the first finding by providing HUD with documentation of the defined service area and the low/moderate benefit determination for the project. The second finding was resolved by providing HUD a written policy implementing procedures for contractors wishing to participate in federally funded activities to have a DUNS number and register on the federal System for Award Management (SAM.gov). The City also provided HUD with written certification that all contractors utilized under the CDBG program during the 2014 program year were not listed on the SAM.gov website as excluded.

CITIZEN PARTICIPATION ACTIVITIES

In preparation of submitting a new five year consolidated plan, the City undertook a review and modification of its Citizen Participation Plan (CPP). Minor changes were made to add clarity and efficiency to the Plan and in addition, City Administration added language providing guidance should the City wish to utilize the Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program.

Notification of the proposed amendment to the CDBG CPP was published in *The Manhattan Mercury* on October 15, 2014 and again on November 9, 2014. Copies of the CPP were made available for public review at City Hall in the Community Development Department, in the City Clerk's office, on the City's website, and at the Manhattan Public Library. The amended CPP was adopted by the City at the November 18, 2014 City Commission meeting.

Consolidated Plan and 2014 Program Year Annual Action Plan

Citizen participation activities in 2014 encompassed neighborhood meetings to gain input for 2 projects under design, Section 3 opportunities advertised in September and March, and preparation for the new 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan and 2015 Annual Action Plan. Consolidated Plan activities included consultations with local agencies and service groups throughout the summer and fall as well as mailed surveys to neighborhood associations, faith-based groups, and social service agencies that serve the City. The public input meeting for the new Consolidated Plan was held on November 13, 2014 as an opportunity to provide suggestions and feedback for the new Consolidated Plan and the 2015 Annual Plan. City Departments were consulted in early winter for projects that addressed public concerns. A "Request for Proposals" process was advertised in the local paper and e-mailed to local public services agencies at the end of October. Nine submissions were received and reviewed by the Social Services Advisory Board in January. The City of Manhattan held a public review and comment period for the proposed Consolidated Plan from April 6, 2015 through May 5, 2015. The Public Comment period and public hearing was advertised on April 5, 2015 in the *Manhattan Mercury*, posted on the City of Manhattan Website and a notice was sent via email to subscribers of the City of Manhattan's "InTouch" system.

The 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan, which included the 2015 Annual Action Plan and the updated 2015 Analysis of Impediments (AI) to Fair Housing was made available for review and comment

by the Public at Manhattan City Hall, the Manhattan Public Library, and the City of Manhattan Website. No comments were received during the public comment period or at the public hearing held on April 16, 2015, and the Consolidated Plan was submitted to HUD for approval in May.

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

The City of Manhattan held a public review and comment period for the proposed 2014 Program Year Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report (CAPER) from September 8, 2015 through September 23, 2015.

This public comment period was advertised on September 6, 2015 in the Manhattan Mercury and the 2014 CAPER was made available for review and comment at Manhattan City Hall, the Manhattan Public Library and the City of Manhattan Website.

In addition to the public review and comment period, a CAPER Public Hearing was held on September 23, 2015 at 5:30 PM in the City of Manhattan Commission Room.

During the CAPER public comment period and at the public hearing, the City received the following public comments regarding the CAPER or the CDBG program: no comments were received.

HOUSING NEEDS AND OBJECTIVES

Housing Needs

Households

Manhattan is primarily composed of small household arrangements. According to the American Community Survey (ACS) of 2011-2013, 33.1% of the non-family households consist of a householder living alone and 19.4% of non-family households consisted of a householder living with an unrelated person. All family households comprise 47.5% of the total households, of which single householders with other family present represented 11.5% of family households and 37% were married couple family households. Of both family and non-family households, 23.9% had children under the age of eighteen. At least one occupant over the age of 65 lived in 13.5% of both household types. The average household size is 2.41 persons and the average family size is 2.93 persons.

Income

The Manhattan MSA Median Family Income (MFI) was \$59,600 during the 2014 program year. According to the 2011-2013 ACS for the City of Manhattan, 64.8% of the population 16 years and older were employed; 61.2% were in the civilian labor force, 3.6% were in the Armed Forces, and 35.2% were not currently in the labor force. Of the employed labor force, 61.3% were private salary and wage workers, 34.4% were federal, state, or local government

workers and 4.3% were self employed. Approximately 42% of all households in the workforce earned less than 80% of the median income.

The number of households earning less than the 80% of Manhattan City, Kansas Median Income was approximately 42%, and are therefore classified as Low and Moderate Income (LMI) households. This reflects an increase from 2010-2012 measure of 41% earning less than 80% of the Median Income. Male Median Income was 19.7% higher than Female Median Income. In Manhattan, 6.5% of all families and 25.7% of all people have income that is below the poverty level. Female Head-of-Household families with related children under the age of 18 represented approximately 26.8% of all families whose income is below the poverty level.

Housing Profile

According to the 2011-2013 ACS, Manhattan had 22,564 housing units, of which 20,519 were occupied. Housing type consisted of 48.4% single unit structures, 47.6% multi-unit structures, and 4% mobile homes. For all housing units, 47% have 3 or more bedrooms; of these, 60.6% were tenant-occupied and 39.4% were owner-occupied. In 90.5% of all housing units, there were 1 or fewer occupants per room; however 9.1% of housing units had 1.5 or more occupants per room. The vacancy rate was 1% for homeowner housing and 8.7% for rental units. Approximately 54% of all housing units were built before 1980.

Some key housing statistics from the 2011-2013 ACS for tenant and owner occupied housing are listed below.

Tenant Occupied

- **54%** of all rental units are affordable to households with incomes between 50% and 80% of HUD MFI.
- **11%** of all rental units are affordable to households with incomes between 30% and 50% of HUD MFI.
- **2%** of all rental units are affordable to households with incomes less than 30% of HUD MFI.
- **55.4%** of tenants pay 30% or more of their income to rent.
- **2.31 persons** is the average household size of tenant-occupied units.

Owner Occupied

- **\$181,700** was the median price for a home in the city of Manhattan.
- **66.6%** of all housing units have mortgage, 33.4% do not.
- **21.7%** of homeowners **with** a mortgage paid 30% or more to monthly housing costs.
- **7.8%** of homeowners **without** a mortgage paid 30% or more to monthly housing costs.
- **2.55 persons** was the average household size of owner-occupied units

Housing Stock Condition

According to the 2011-2013 ACS, within Manhattan's housing stock, 1.2% of units were without complete plumbing facilities, and 1.9% of units were without complete kitchen facilities. Both represent increases of .7% over the previous ACS estimates. Overcrowding also increased in the City of Manhattan by 1% as units identified as overcrowded (greater than at least 1 person per room) was at 9.5%. The number of units without telephone service is 6.5%. Median Rent for 2014 was \$848. Median housing costs for mortgaged owners was \$1,405 and \$497 for owners without a mortgage.

The age of housing stock, vacancy rates and increase in households earning less than 80% of Median Income affect the availability of affordable housing to meet demand. The City will continue to work with all sectors to encourage the preservation of affordable housing stock and establishment of affordable options for all residents. Given the strong demand and high prices for developable land in the Manhattan real estate market, opportunities to create new affordable housing units are limited. As a result, it is a priority of the City to use CDBG funds to preserve existing single family housing to help meet the demand for affordable units.

Specific Housing Objectives

The demand for housing to serve students, soldiers and low income households will continue to place pressure on the supply of affordable housing in the City of Manhattan. The City's Housing Rehabilitation Program strives to enhance accessibility, and improve and preserve existing decent, safe and sanitary housing stock for low and moderate income persons.

Public Housing Strategy

The City will continue to collaborate with the Manhattan Housing Authority (MHA) on issues related to affordable housing. In the past the City has completed public/private partnerships with MHA and private developers to construct new housing. The City also supports the MHA's efforts to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in public housing activities and management, and educate residents in personal financial management that may lead to homeownership.

Lead-based Paint

According to the ACS data, 54% of all housing units in Manhattan were built prior to 1980. The CDBG housing rehabilitation program follows lead safe practices at qualified sites. All units constructed prior to 1978 are required to have a lead based paint assessment. Based on the results of the assessment, hazards are identified and control options are reported. Contractors are required to follow lead-safe work practices and are monitored by a certified lead based paint inspector. Additionally, all general contractors who successfully bid projects are required to be State authorized as lead activity firms, and also must employ certified lead safe workers. A maximum of \$5,000 per rehabilitation can be spent on lead-based paint activities for any given location. The City of Manhattan will continue to use lead safe work practices in all rehabilitation activities pursuant to 40 CFR 745.80 subpart E.

Barriers to Affordable Housing

A review of the City of Manhattan's housing policy indicated there are no institutional barriers to affordable housing and no known negative effects of City Building Codes in regards to housing and residential investment. The City has adopted the 2012 International Building Code Series and the 2011 National Electrical Code as the minimum housing code is similar to the requirements of HUD's Housing Quality Standards. The City prefers to use the Federal Fair Housing guidelines for accessibility compliance in covered multi-family dwellings, which references the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) requirements for accessibility. The Code Services Division of the Manhattan Fire Department ensures through the permitting and enforcement process that new multi-family housing containing four or more dwelling units complies with all federal regulations of the Fair Housing Act.

The minimum housing code is enforced on a complaint basis, which assists in the establishment of affordable rental units that are decent, safe and sanitary. Regulations that are designed to protect the health, safety, and welfare of citizens may affect the cost of housing. However, these regulations are not designed to discourage the availability of affordable housing and the City did not propose reform steps or actions to remove or restructure such policies in the 2014 Program Year.

FAIR HOUSING

Impediments to Fair Housing

Impediments to Fair Housing are related to the transitory patterns of Manhattan's population. Unfamiliarity of new residents with fair market rents plus strong competition for housing during the transitional summer season contribute to an environment where unfair housing practices could occur.

Analysis of housing policy indicates that there appears to be no institutional impediments to fair housing choice. Regulations that are designed to protect the health, safety and welfare of citizens may affect the cost of housing; however, these regulations are not designed to discourage choice or availability of housing. Analysis of both qualitative and quantitative data on housing in Manhattan resulted in the identification of the following impediments to fair housing choice:

- **Affordability**

Data suggests that there may be a disparity between income and the cost of housing in the City. The ACS 2011-2013 shows that 42% of all households had income in the LMI range by HUD standards. It also shows that 55% of tenant households and nearly 22% of mortgage households pay more than 30% of income to housing costs.

- **Accessibility**

The economic feasibility of retrofitting older housing stock for accessibility improvements may be an impediment to both landlords and to Low and Moderate Income homeowners, which the City addresses with the Emergency and Accessibility Repairs component of the Housing Rehabilitation Program.

Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing

The City has adopted a strategy of education as the primary method to further Fair Housing in the community. The City developed a “Fair Housing” webpage to provide educational information, resources and links for potential tenants, home purchasers and landlords. This webpage is updated on a periodic basis. A brochure in both Spanish and English on Fair Housing developed by City staff and distributed throughout the City beginning in October and November 2012 continued to be used through 2014. On April 23, 2014 the City produced and hosted a Fair Housing Seminar targeted to Realtors, Property Managers and owners. The seminar was attended by 38 housing specialists, professionals and property owners and will be offered again next April with different topics and an expanded schedule. Additionally, the City Commission declared April as “Fair Housing Month”.

HOUSING REHABILITATION PROGRAM

The City of Manhattan operates an owner occupied housing rehabilitation program, which encompasses Comprehensive Housing Rehabilitation, Emergency and Accessibility (E&A) Rehabilitation and Mobile Home E&A for owner occupied housing units. The City actively promoted the rehabilitation program and experienced steady demand for housing rehabilitation during the 2014 program year.

Comprehensive Rehabilitation activities were completed in 6 single family homes, Emergency and Accessibility Rehabilitation activities were completed in 6 single family homes, and the Mobile Home E&A activity completed emergency and accessibility renovations for 2 single family owned mobile homes. Of these 14 homeowners:

- 6 were elderly,
- 7 were female head of household, with 2 classified as having large families,
- 3 were disabled or had a disabled family member
- 4 represented an ethnicity or minority,
- 2 were extremely low income, 6 were very low income and 6 were low income.

The rehabilitation agreement between homeowners and the City specifies that the homeowner must reimburse the City a portion of the grant funds used to rehabilitate a home if the homeowner sells the home after repairs are made. In 2014, the City received reimbursements in the amount of \$2,882.52 which were then reinvested in Housing Rehabilitation Activities.

Additionally, two homeowners elected to contribute to the rehabilitation of their homes and matched CDBG funding with \$791.00 in private funds.

The City will utilize the remaining 2014 funds on housing rehabilitation projects that are underway, and use matching funds to cover any shortages that may occur for the Housing Rehabilitation Project. This Project is reviewed each year to determine if there are unmet needs and therefore opportunities to expand categories of eligible program activities, such as tenant occupied properties or public housing authority owned properties.

HOMELESS CONTINUUM OF CARE

Addressing Homelessness

In the past, the City of Manhattan has collaborated with the Riley County Homeless Task Force and its partner organizations to address homelessness issues in Manhattan. In 2013 this task force changed to the Affordable Housing Task Force. The mission of the Affordable Housing Task Force is to promote safe, affordable and accessible housing options through collaboration, advocacy, strategic planning and awareness. The Task Force has identified the following priority needs for the City of Manhattan:

- Support the expansion of the homeless service system to include homeless prevention and re-housing programs and supportive housing programs for the disabled and families
- Promote collaboration among service providers, including public housing agencies, to improve access to affordable housing and expansion of supportive services.

Due to difficulty with staff turnover at the various key agencies involved with the Task Force in 2013, a new committee, “Everybody Counts”, was formed to function in the same way the Task Force did and provide the same input for the community. The new group is not just looking at homelessness but other issues of poverty.

Chronic Homelessness

The Caroline Peine Transitional Shelter offers 47 beds of emergency shelter for homeless men, women, and families. MESI also offers placement in transitional and permanent supportive housing, and homeless rental assistance to either decrease the current length of homelessness or to prevent a family from becoming homeless and entering shelter. Through its two Continuum of Care (CoC) Programs, Transition in Place Program and Opportunities Program, MESI also housed another 58 individuals. MESI does not set aside specific beds in the shelter for the chronically homeless, but does house them as needed.

The “Transition in Place Program” provides support to homeless families with dependent children who have extreme housing barriers, such as a pregnant mother with young children or a

family that owes rental arrears preventing them from qualifying for Public Housing. The “Opportunities Program” provides shelter to individuals with Severe and Persistent Mental Illness and who are homeless. Funding for support services and operational costs for these programs is matched by the Caroline Peine Foundation at 25%.

In calendar year 2014, MESI provided 10,248 total nights of stay to 454 unduplicated persons in 338 households, funded in-part by Emergency Solutions Grant and Emergency Food and Shelter Program. MESI also rapidly re-housed 14 additional people who were living outside or in a place not meant for human habitation through its Homeless Rental Assistance Program. MESI’s clients come from a variety of sources such as walk-ins and referral from other agencies and organizations. From 2013, there was a slight decrease in unduplicated individuals seeking emergency services. MESI operates at or near capacity the majority of the time, and does not believe this is a direct reflection of the demand for services.

Homeless Prevention

Since its inception, MESI’s Homeless Prevention & Re-housing Program Expansion (HPRPE) has assisted with housing stabilization by integrating the program into shelter services to prevent and divert clients from entering shelter, and connect them to the most applicable services to resolve their immediate crisis situation. One piece of MESI’s Homeless Rental Assistance Program (HRAP) offers homelessness prevention services to households in imminent risk of homelessness. This program prevents and diverts clients from entering emergency shelter by allowing them to stay in their current, permanent residence. An important element in HRAP prevention services is housing stability case management, which aims to prevent future evictions or homeless episodes; this includes financial assistance with utilities and rent when necessary. HRAP is unique in its ability to assist with large portions of arrears and its intensive case management. This program was funded through the CDBG program and served 66 individuals, composed of 27 households, in the first half of 2014, and was then transferred to Shepherd’s Crossing, Inc., a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization, for the 2014 Grant year. Shepherd’s Crossing, Inc. expanded its own homeless prevention activities by using CDBG funds to expand rent and utility assistance to 116 households and 296 individuals at risk of becoming homeless.

The Manhattan Housing Authority (MHA) also administers a Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program (TBRA) which provides Security and Utility Deposit assistance. MHA also administers 55 HUD-VASH (Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing) vouchers that help homeless veterans. These vouchers are just like Section 8 Vouchers but must have VA case management and are tagged for veterans only. The VASH vouchers are administered in collaboration with the VA.

The Crisis Center shelters only those who must flee violence in their homes and must certify those seeking shelter as homeless. The Crisis Center uses “Protection from Abuse Orders” which can in some instances, make it possible for spouse abuse victims to remain in their homes, as can criminal prosecutions. Whenever possible, the Crisis Center seeks to help victims of domestic violence stay in their homes through legal means. When that is not possible, they

provide emergency shelter for those who are in danger. The Crisis Center also works with many agencies and organizations, including the Manhattan Housing Authority, to provide shelter for their clients. Domestic violence victims are never sent to MESI for shelter.

Discharge Planning

MESI has orchestrated a successful system of outreach and diversion for requests of varying levels of assistance from community members. When persons first inquire about shelter services, they are screened by a stabilization specialist to determine what means of assistance is available to resolve their current crisis situation. When HRAP and community resources are not applicable, persons are directed to the shelter case manager for shelter service. Additional housing placement and stabilization services are offered under strict eligibility guidelines for MESI's Continuum of Care (CoC) Programs. Participants in HRAP, CoC Programs, and the emergency shelter receive individual case management services to help connect them with mainstream and community resources, improve income, and access affordable and stable housing.

The Crisis Center may participate in MESI's CoC programs when appropriate and a reciprocal referral agreement exists between the two agencies to assure that individuals receive the most appropriate service. The Crisis Center works with community organizations to help sheltered clients secure the resources necessary to safely exit the Crisis Center shelter. Former residents continue to receive supportive counseling, advocacy, and other services.

Sources of Funds

The City will continue to provide support to the Manhattan Emergency Shelter, Inc. (MESI) and the Crisis Center, Inc., a domestic violence shelter, with dedicated State operated Emergency Solutions Grant funds as applicable. The City appoints the MHA's Board of Commissioners but does not provide direct financial support to the MHA. In 2014, the City completed the Environmental Review for a \$5.4 million CFP Emergency Grant to address mold and asbestos in the Apartment Towers Public Housing building, and also provided an opportunity for the MHA to promote the Section 8 voucher program at the Fair Housing Seminar in April of 2015.

During 2014, the City also supported several local public service agencies through a dedicated social services fund. Agencies that addressed housing needs in some way included MESI, the Crisis Center, Kansas Legal Services, Homecare & Hospice, and Shepherd's Crossing, Inc. Shepherd's Crossing, Inc. also received CDBG 2014 program year funds to expand its Rent and Utility Assistance program.

Manhattan Emergency Shelter, Inc.

During the 2014 calendar year, the Manhattan Emergency Shelter received the following federal funds in support of the listed program below:

Funding Source	2014 Funds	Clients	House -holds	MESI Program
Emergency Solutions Grant	\$139,286.92	509	338	Caroline Peine Transitional Shelter and Homeless Rental Assistance Program
Continuum of Care Program	\$212,337.36	49	12	Transition in Place Program
Continuum of Care Program	\$170,811.11	11	11	Opportunities Program
Emergency Food & Shelter Program	\$10,576.00	495	335	Caroline Peine Transitional Shelter Program
Community Development Block Grant *	\$22,122.17	66	27	Homeless Rental Assistance Program
Total Federal Expenditures	\$555,133.56	635	408	

* (2nd half of 2013 grant year)

Manhattan Housing Authority

The MHA received HUD Development funds directly from the Federal Government and funds passed through the State of Kansas HOME Program in the following ways:

Funding Source	2014 Funds	Individuals	Families	
HUD Section 8	981,514.00	388	178	
HUD Public Housing	425,467.00	301	187	
TBRA (HOME Funds/State)	91,782.00	291	140	*
Total Federal Expenditures	1,498,763.00	983	505	

* 101 families and 39 individuals. Number of members in each family is not tracked; however, average “family” size is approximately 2.5 persons.

In 2014, the Manhattan Housing Authority (MHA) operated 202 1-4 bedroom units in five public housing communities and managed an additional 108 units (30 of which are also considered public housing) in two tax credit housing communities. Since 2011, the MHA has been designated as a “High Performer” in the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, providing the maximum amount of assistance to the Manhattan Community.

The Crisis Center

The Crisis Center received funds in calendar year 2014 from federal government programs and funds passed through the State of Kansas in the following ways:

Funding Source	2014 Funds	Individuals Assisted	Families Assisted
Family Violence Prevention & Services Act grant	\$62,203	143 sheltered, 1309 assisted	45 sheltered
Emergency Food and Shelter Program (EFSP)	\$10,576		
Emergency Solutions Grant	\$32,207		
Total Federal Expenditures	\$104,986	1,309	45

Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG)

The City of Manhattan does not receive Entitlement ESG funds, but does receive and monitor State operated ESG funds which are “passed through” to the Manhattan Emergency Shelter, Inc. (MESI) and The Crisis Center, Inc., a domestic violence shelter. The ESG funds are used in the Caroline Peine Transitional Shelter Program which provides emergency and transitional shelter to individuals and families and the Housing Rental Assistance Program that provides rapid re-housing and homelessness prevention services. MESI also receives Emergency Food and Shelter Program (EFSP) funds to support emergency shelter services through a Federal Emergency Management Administration (FEMA) Program.

The Crisis Center utilizes ESG funds to provide emergency shelter and case management services for victims of domestic violence and their children who cannot remain safely in their homes. The Center received \$32,207 in State operated ESG funds for calendar year 2014 that were used in combination with \$10,576 from the FEMA EFSP fund to support emergency services and shelter to clients.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Antipoverty Strategy

According to the 2011-2013 ACS, 25.7% of individuals and 6.5% of families in Manhattan were considered to be in poverty, and 42% of households earned low to moderate incomes. The City of Manhattan recognizes the best way to assist people in improving their economic position is to provide employment opportunities. Therefore, the City will continue to encourage business growth through partnerships with the Manhattan Area Chamber of Commerce and other private entities. The City is currently underway with several economic re-development projects located in existing commercial areas directly adjacent to Low and Moderate Income neighborhoods. The businesses opening in these areas have provided and will continue to offer new, permanent employment opportunities.

The City will continue to support programs to sustain the integrity, accessibility, livability and sustainability of LMI neighborhoods. In 2014, the City undertook activities which included housing rehabilitation, emergency repairs and accessibility modifications; and public facility and neighborhood infrastructure improvements.

Douglass Community Center Complex

The Douglass Community Center Complex Improvements project addresses needed improvements at a facility located in and serving an LMI neighborhood. The Douglass Center encompasses both the Community Center and the Annex buildings. Originally intended to be completed entirely in 2014, additional improvements were identified as preliminary design got underway. It was realized that addressing all needs would require greater funding than budgeted and the project was split into two phases. The project will complete parking improvements in 2014 and building improvements in 2015 using a combination of 2014 and 2015 funds. The

parking improvements address needed additional patron parking spaces by removing the gravel/asphalt millings and replacing it with pavement and delineated parking stalls. This will also help to preserve the original gym floor of the Community Center building by reducing the amount of gravel tracked in. The project has been bid out and awarded and should be completed by the mid November 2015. Remaining 2014 funds will be added to funds allocated in the 2015 grant year to complete the balance of modifications, which will include replacing and resealing the roof, restoring original siding, replacing and repainting damaged trim and fascia, and repainting the exterior of the Community Center building.

Flint Hills Breadbasket Improvements Project

The Flint Hills Breadbasket is a local food bank that strictly serves LMI individuals. In 2014, the Breadbasket provided weekly groceries and support services for 4,567 unique individuals and as well as over 13,800 referral services to other area agencies. During the 2014 program year, the City contracted to implement renovations to the building which was comprised of expanding the client waiting room, making energy efficiency improvements, installation of fans in the warehouse to help prevent spoilage of donated food, installing floor tile and painting interior walls. When the FHBB project bid out higher than budgeted, the FHBB found a donor to contribute \$7,700 to complete the renovations. The project was completed in July 2015; however final payment has not been made to the contractor.

Neighborhood Intersection Improvement Project

The intersections improvements were located on the following streets:

- 17th Street and Yuma Streets intersection,
- 11th Street and Fremont Streets intersection

The 2013 17th and Yuma Streets Intersection Improvements project was started within the 2013 Program Year, but was completed within the 2014 Program Year with a combination of budgeted 2013 and surplus 2012 funds. The delay for the project was related to staff turnover in the Public Works department, adjustments to the original plan and design based on two public input meetings for the project, and bidding issues. Ultimately, the intersection was narrowed, pedestrian crossing lights installed, and ADA improvements added to provided safety and accessibility. The City awarded the contract for the 2013 project in December 2014 and completed it in the spring of 2015.

The 2014 Neighborhood Intersection Project is located at the 11th and Fremont Streets intersection, which is a high traffic intersection within a Low Income Neighborhood. This project site was originally included on the 2013 plan, but was moved to the 2014 Program Year Annual Plan. The 2013 Year balance of funds for the 17th & Yuma Streets Intersection project were leveraged with 2014 Year funds and more than \$247,000.00 (123%) match by the City. The project will install traffic and pedestrian crossing lights, install turn lanes, and make ADA improvements. A contract for this project has been awarded with an expected completion date of December 2015.

PUBLIC SERVICES

Sunflower CASA Project - Volunteer Advocate Supervisor

Sunflower CASA (Court Appointed Special Advocates) volunteers serve a supportive role for abused and neglected children in the Riley County family court system. Advocates investigate the circumstances of the child's life and provide this information to the judge in a written report for each hearing, identify the child's unmet needs and facilitate services to meet those needs. Advocates also monitor court orders, and advocate for the best interest of the child. Public service funds continued to support the salary of a half-time Advocate Supervisor and enabled CASA to undertake 5 pre-service training events for 35 new volunteers during the 2014 Program Year. CASA served 26 abused and neglected children in the court system, which was less than the expected 40. The overall goals were met, however, and CASA utilized one hundred percent of allocated grant funds.

Stepping Stones Children's Advocacy Center (CAC) - Family/Victim Advocate

Sunflower CASA's Family/Victim Advocate provides a crucial service to child victims and their non-offending caregiver(s) at a time of trauma and crisis. The Advocate meets with the non-offending caregiver during the child's interview to address immediate crisis intervention, conduct a needs assessment, make referrals to community services, and educate the non-offending caregiver on the importance of mental health and medical evaluation. Following the initial visit, the Advocate initiates follow-up contact to assess on-going needs, identify barriers to services, and provide support to the child and the non-offending caregiver. The Advocate stays with the family for the duration of their case. Public service funds supported the salary of a three quarter's time Family/Victim Advocate to work with child victims and their families to reduce trauma and aid in their healing, as well as supervise volunteers. In 2014 Program Year, the CAC aided 41 Manhattan children and their families. This represents all Children brought to the CAC by law enforcement or Child Protective Services.

Housing and Credit Counseling, Inc. (HCCI)

HCCI is a 501(c)(3) organization dedicated to counseling and educating people to achieve their personal financial and housing goals. The goal of this project was to provide HUD approved comprehensive Consumer Credit and Housing counseling for low-income families who are financially overextended due to unforeseen events. This counseling helps to keep people in their homes and promotes financial stability by addressing budgeting, credit rebuilding, debt repayment and mortgage and rent delinquency. CDBG funds were used to provide comprehensive consumer credit and housing counseling for low-income families who are financially overextended due to job loss, divorce, medical issues or other reasons. This counseling keeps LMI families in their homes and promotes financial stability. In the 2014 Program Year, HCCI helped 156 people in 77 households in Manhattan. HCCI did not utilize the full amount of their budgeted funds as they anticipated serving 80 households; however, the overall program goals were met.

Shepherd's Crossing

Shepherd's Crossing, Inc. is a 501(c) (3) organization that serves very low income households identified by State of Kansas Department for Children and Families (formerly SRS), Manhattan Housing Authority or the Flint Hills Breadbasket as qualified for poverty status. They assist families in financial emergencies by giving partial payments for rent and utility assistance as well as referrals to other agencies for other types of assistance and counseling. CDBG funds helped Shepherd's Crossing, Inc. expand an existing program and increase the average assistance given to families from \$250 in 2013 to \$388 in 2014, specifically focusing on those with incomes below 130% of federal poverty guidelines. They assisted 116 families for a total of 296 individuals.

HOPWA

Specific HOPWA Objectives

The City of Manhattan is not a recipient of HOPWA funds.

NON-HOMELESS SPECIAL NEEDS

Non-homeless Special Needs

The City of Manhattan has addressed Non-Homeless Special Needs Priorities in the Strategic Plan, but did not fund any programs under the non-homeless special needs section during the 2013 Program Year.

OTHER NARRATIVE

Not Applicable to the City of Manhattan.

September 28, 2015

RE: 2014 CAPER, Explanation for PR-26 – CDBG Financial Summary Report Adjustments

To Whom It May Concern:

The PR-26 – CDBG Financial Summary Report contains several adjustments for a draw made for expenses incurred during the 2014 grant year but not submitted in IDIS until after the beginning of the 90 Day CAPER period. At the time the draw was completed, the submissions failed to note that the expenses were for a prior year, and therefore were not included in the totals for the 2014 Financial Summary Report. The draw included several activities outlined below and the adjustments added to the Financial Summary Report are based on these amounts:

Late May/Early June 2014 CDBG Activities		Drawn From	
Administration	IDIS Act. ID	Program Income	Grant
Program Administration	73	\$0.00	\$4,997.63
Gen Fund Admin Salary	74	\$0.00	\$1,381.66
Fair Housing	75	\$0.00	\$199.11
Gen Admin	76	\$0.00	\$193.17
Community House	77	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$12,694.75</u>
Total Admin		\$0.00	\$19,466.32
Housing Rehabilitation	IDIS Act. ID	Program Income	Grant
Rehab Program Administration	69	\$0.00	\$2,342.32
Comprehensive Rehab	70	\$2,304.72	\$9,111.52
E/A Single Family Rehab	71	\$0.00	\$537.09
E/A Mobile Rehab	72	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$1,510.00</u>
Total Housing Rehab		\$2,304.72	\$13,500.93
Public Services	IDIS Act. ID	Program Income	Grant
Shepherd's Crossing	65	\$0.00	\$0.00
CASA Advocate	66	\$0.00	\$1,254.00
CASA Stepping Stones - CAC	67	\$0.00	\$1,287.00
HCCI	68	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$85.00</u>
Total Public Services		\$0.00	\$2,626.00
Projects	IDIS Act. ID	Program Income	Grant
Intersections 11th Fremont	62	\$0.00	\$152.50
Flint Hills Bread Basket	63	\$0.00	\$22,358.00
Douglass Center Complex	64	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$71.70</u>
Total Projects		\$0.00	\$22,510.50
Grand total		\$2,304.72	\$58,175.45



PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG RESOURCES

01 UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	201,697.87
02 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	618,545.00
03 SURPLUS URBAN RENEWAL	0.00
04 SECTION 108 GUARANTEED LOAN FUNDS	0.00
05 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	2,882.52
05a CURRENT YEAR SECTION 108 PROGRAM INCOME (FOR SI TYPE)	0.00
06 FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LINE-OF-CREDIT	0.00
06a FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LOCAL CDBG ACCOUNT	0.00
07 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AVAILABLE	0.00
08 TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LINES 01-07)	823,125.39

PART II: SUMMARY OF CDBG EXPENDITURES

09 DISBURSEMENTS OTHER THAN SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	448,162.00
10 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT	38,709.13
11 AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT (LINE 09 + LINE 10)	486,871.13
12 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	85,862.00
13 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS	0.00
14 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL EXPENDITURES	19,466.32
15 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM, LINES 11-14)	592,199.45
16 UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 08 - LINE 15)	230,925.94

PART III: LOWMOD BENEFIT THIS REPORTING PERIOD

17 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING IN SPECIAL AREAS	0.00
18 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD MULTI-UNIT HOUSING	0.00
19 DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOW/MOD ACTIVITIES	448,162.00
20 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT	38,709.13
21 TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINES 17-20)	486,871.13
22 PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 21/LINE 11)	100.00%

LOW/MOD BENEFIT FOR MULTI-YEAR CERTIFICATIONS

23 PROGRAM YEARS(PY) COVERED IN CERTIFICATION	PY: 2013 PY: 2014 PY: 2015
24 CUMULATIVE NET EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT CALCULATION	0.00
25 CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES BENEFITING LOW/MOD PERSONS	0.00
26 PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW/MOD PERSONS (LINE 25/LINE 24)	0.00%

PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CAP CALCULATIONS

27 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES	76,591.00
28 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
29 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
30 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS	2,626.00
31 TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS (LINE 27 + LINE 28 - LINE 29 + LINE 30)	79,217.00
32 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	618,545.00
33 PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	3,773.02
34 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP	0.00
35 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP (SUM, LINES 32-34)	622,318.02
36 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PS ACTIVITIES (LINE 31/LINE 35)	12.73%

PART V: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION (PA) CAP

37 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	85,862.00
38 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
39 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
40 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS	19,466.32
41 TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS (LINE 37 + LINE 38 - LINE 39 +LINE 40)	105,328.32
42 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	618,545.00
43 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	2,882.52
44 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP	0.00
45 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP (SUM, LINES 42-44)	621,427.52
46 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PA ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45)	16.95%



Office of Community Planning and Development
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report
 Program Year 2014
 MANHATTAN , KS

DATE: 09-28-15
 TIME: 14:43
 PAGE: 2

LINE 17 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 17

Report returned no data.

LINE 18 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 18

Report returned no data.

LINE 19 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 19

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2013	5	55	5740807	17th and Yuma	03	LMA	\$376.20
2013	5	55	5753420	17th and Yuma	03	LMA	\$287.42
2013	5	55	5768762	17th and Yuma	03	LMA	\$109.09
2013	5	55	5788868	17th and Yuma	03	LMA	\$36.00
2013	5	55	5798488	17th and Yuma	03	LMA	\$32,345.78
2013	5	55	5818588	17th and Yuma	03	LMA	\$123,707.92
2013	5	55	5820148	17th and Yuma	03	LMA	\$13,000.00
2014	4	63	5788870	Flint Hills Bread Basket	03	LMC	\$8,294.98
2014	4	63	5798489	Flint Hills Bread Basket	03	LMC	\$1,025.00
2014	4	63	5810029	Flint Hills Bread Basket	03	LMC	\$750.00
2014	4	63	5818585	Flint Hills Bread Basket	03	LMC	\$29,426.17
2014	4	63	5840765	Flint Hills Bread Basket	03	LMC	\$4,521.00
2014	4	63	5850719	Flint Hills Bread Basket	03	LMC	\$10,026.00
							03 Matrix Code \$223,905.56
2014	5	62	5810029	11th & Fremont Intersection Improvment	03K	LMA	\$216.92
2014	5	62	5840765	11th & Fremont Intersection Improvment	03K	LMA	\$147.34
							03K Matrix Code \$364.26
2014	3	66	5740814	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$1,559.00
2014	3	66	5753535	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$799.00
2014	3	66	5768765	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$2,106.00
2014	3	66	5780504	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$839.00
2014	3	66	5788870	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$900.00
2014	3	66	5798489	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$900.00
2014	3	66	5810029	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$901.00
2014	3	66	5818585	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$887.00
2014	3	66	5840765	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$992.00
2014	3	67	5740814	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$1,686.00
2014	3	67	5753535	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$843.00
2014	3	67	5768765	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$2,074.00
2014	3	67	5780504	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$843.00
2014	3	67	5788870	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$921.00
2014	3	67	5798489	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$921.00
2014	3	67	5810029	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$921.00
2014	3	67	5818585	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$920.00
2014	3	67	5840765	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$1,119.00
							05N Matrix Code \$20,131.00
2014	3	65	5740814	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$6,346.51
2014	3	65	5753535	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$5,822.82
2014	3	65	5762493	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$3,563.80
2014	3	65	5768765	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$1,349.08
2014	3	65	5780504	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$2,466.00
2014	3	65	5788870	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$6,243.63
2014	3	65	5798489	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$7,927.51
2014	3	65	5810029	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$7,143.78
2014	3	65	5818585	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$9,136.87
							05Q Matrix Code \$50,000.00
2014	3	68	5740814	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$1,275.00



Office of Community Planning and Development
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report
 Program Year 2014
 MANHATTAN , KS

DATE: 09-28-15
 TIME: 14:43
 PAGE: 3

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount	
2014	3	68	5753535	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$425.00	
2014	3	68	5762493	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$510.00	
2014	3	68	5768765	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$170.00	
2014	3	68	5780504	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$510.00	
2014	3	68	5788870	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$765.00	
2014	3	68	5798489	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$595.00	
2014	3	68	5810029	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$1,020.00	
2014	3	68	5818585	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$340.00	
2014	3	68	5840765	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$850.00	
					05U	Matrix Code	\$6,460.00	
2013	2	49	5740807	Comprehensive Rehabilitation	14A	LMH	\$4,456.00	
2013	2	49	5753420	Comprehensive Rehabilitation	14A	LMH	\$13,182.15	
2013	2	49	5762493	Comprehensive Rehabilitation	14A	LMH	\$9,772.15	
2013	2	49	5768762	Comprehensive Rehabilitation	14A	LMH	\$4,575.00	
2013	2	49	5798488	Comprehensive Rehabilitation	14A	LMH	\$72.00	
2014	2	70	5740814	Comprehensive Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$590.00	
2014	2	70	5753535	Comprehensive Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$678.24	
2014	2	70	5762493	Comprehensive Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$15,558.15	
2014	2	70	5768765	Comprehensive Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$27,347.34	
2014	2	70	5780504	Comprehensive Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$9,479.90	
2014	2	70	5788870	Comprehensive Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$590.00	
2014	2	70	5798489	Comprehensive Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$1,180.00	
2014	2	70	5810029	Comprehensive Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$590.00	
2014	2	70	5818585	Comprehensive Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$303.26	
2014	2	70	5840765	Comprehensive Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$242.60	
2014	2	70	5850719	Comprehensive Housing Rehab	14A	LMH	\$15,242.00	
2014	2	71	5762493	Single Family Emergency/Accessibility Rehab	14A	LMH	\$999.00	
2014	2	71	5768765	Single Family Emergency/Accessibility Rehab	14A	LMH	\$825.00	
2014	2	71	5780504	Single Family Emergency/Accessibility Rehab	14A	LMH	\$1,577.00	
2014	2	71	5840765	Single Family Emergency/Accessibility Rehab	14A	LMH	\$62.00	
2014	2	71	5850719	Single Family Emergency/Accessibility Rehab	14A	LMH	\$3,538.00	
2014	2	72	5780504	Mobile Home Emergency/Accessibility Rehab	14A	LMH	\$11.50	
2014	2	72	5788870	Mobile Home Emergency/Accessibility Rehab	14A	LMH	\$2,430.00	
					14A	Matrix Code	\$113,301.29	
2014	2	69	5735836	Rehab Program Delivery	14H	LMH	\$3,532.98	
2014	2	69	5740814	Rehab Program Delivery	14H	LMH	\$2,658.86	
2014	2	69	5753535	Rehab Program Delivery	14H	LMH	\$4,764.42	
2014	2	69	5762493	Rehab Program Delivery	14H	LMH	\$2,690.11	
2014	2	69	5768765	Rehab Program Delivery	14H	LMH	\$3,060.35	
2014	2	69	5780504	Rehab Program Delivery	14H	LMH	\$2,753.36	
2014	2	69	5788870	Rehab Program Delivery	14H	LMH	\$3,022.98	
2014	2	69	5798489	Rehab Program Delivery	14H	LMH	\$3,194.66	
2014	2	69	5810029	Rehab Program Delivery	14H	LMH	\$2,405.97	
2014	2	69	5818585	Rehab Program Delivery	14H	LMH	\$4,389.19	
2014	2	69	5840765	Rehab Program Delivery	14H	LMH	\$1,527.01	
					14H	Matrix Code	\$33,999.89	
Total								\$448,162.00

LINE 27 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 27

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2014	3	66	5740814	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$1,559.00
2014	3	66	5753535	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$799.00
2014	3	66	5768765	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$2,106.00
2014	3	66	5780504	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$839.00



Office of Community Planning and Development
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report
 Program Year 2014
 MANHATTAN , KS

DATE: 09-28-15
 TIME: 14:43
 PAGE: 4

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2014	3	66	5788870	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$900.00
2014	3	66	5798489	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$900.00
2014	3	66	5810029	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$901.00
2014	3	66	5818585	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$887.00
2014	3	66	5840765	CASA Court Advocate	05N	LMC	\$992.00
2014	3	67	5740814	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$1,686.00
2014	3	67	5753535	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$843.00
2014	3	67	5768765	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$2,074.00
2014	3	67	5780504	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$843.00
2014	3	67	5788870	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$921.00
2014	3	67	5798489	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$921.00
2014	3	67	5810029	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$921.00
2014	3	67	5818585	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$920.00
2014	3	67	5840765	CASA - Child Advocacy Center	05N	LMC	\$1,119.00
							05N Matrix Code \$20,131.00
2014	3	65	5740814	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$6,346.51
2014	3	65	5753535	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$5,822.82
2014	3	65	5762493	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$3,563.80
2014	3	65	5768765	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$1,349.08
2014	3	65	5780504	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$2,466.00
2014	3	65	5788870	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$6,243.63
2014	3	65	5798489	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$7,927.51
2014	3	65	5810029	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$7,143.78
2014	3	65	5818585	Shepherd's Crossing	05Q	LMC	\$9,136.87
							05Q Matrix Code \$50,000.00
2014	3	68	5740814	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$1,275.00
2014	3	68	5753535	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$425.00
2014	3	68	5762493	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$510.00
2014	3	68	5768765	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$170.00
2014	3	68	5780504	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$510.00
2014	3	68	5788870	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$765.00
2014	3	68	5798489	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$595.00
2014	3	68	5810029	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$1,020.00
2014	3	68	5818585	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$340.00
2014	3	68	5840765	Housing & Credit Counseling, Inc.	05U	LMC	\$850.00
							05U Matrix Code \$6,460.00
Total							\$76,591.00

LINE 37 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 37

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2014	1	77	5840765	Community House Planning Study	20		\$10,452.08
							20 Matrix Code \$10,452.08
2014	1	73	5735836	Program Administration	21A		\$6,972.69
2014	1	73	5740814	Program Administration	21A		\$4,814.99
2014	1	73	5753535	Program Administration	21A		\$6,974.90
2014	1	73	5762493	Program Administration	21A		\$4,815.38
2014	1	73	5768765	Program Administration	21A		\$4,813.58
2014	1	73	5780504	Program Administration	21A		\$4,906.10
2014	1	73	5788870	Program Administration	21A		\$4,948.82
2014	1	73	5798489	Program Administration	21A		\$4,998.41
2014	1	73	5810029	Program Administration	21A		\$4,998.71
2014	1	73	5818585	Program Administration	21A		\$7,250.05
2014	1	73	5840765	Program Administration	21A		\$2,752.62
2014	1	74	5735836	General Fund Administration Salary	21A		\$2,049.86



Office of Community Planning and Development
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report
 Program Year 2014
 MANHATTAN , KS

DATE: 09-28-15
 TIME: 14:43
 PAGE: 5

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2014	1	74	5740814	General Fund Administration Salary	21A		\$1,304.16
2014	1	74	5753535	General Fund Administration Salary	21A		\$1,801.60
2014	1	74	5762493	General Fund Administration Salary	21A		\$746.33
2014	1	74	5768765	General Fund Administration Salary	21A		\$1,032.24
2014	1	74	5810029	General Fund Administration Salary	21A		\$4,076.10
2014	1	74	5818585	General Fund Administration Salary	21A		\$1,828.62
2014	1	74	5840765	General Fund Administration Salary	21A		\$524.23
2014	1	76	5735836	General Administration	21A		\$1,785.52
2014	1	76	5740814	General Administration	21A		\$19.27
2014	1	76	5753535	General Administration	21A		\$359.80
2014	1	76	5762493	General Administration	21A		\$139.37
2014	1	76	5768765	General Administration	21A		\$653.02
2014	1	76	5798489	General Administration	21A		\$242.13
2014	1	76	5810029	General Administration	21A		\$64.16
2014	1	76	5818585	General Administration	21A		\$187.54
2014	1	76	5840765	General Administration	21A		\$271.74
					21A	Matrix Code	\$75,331.94
2014	1	75	5818585	Fair Housing	21D		\$77.98
					21D	Matrix Code	\$77.98
Total							\$85,862.00

In The Matter of 2014 CDBG

STATE OF KANSAS, RILEY COUNTY, ss

Printer's Fee \$ 246.85

Payment Date



2014 CDBG

Consolidated Annual Performance & Evaluation Report (CAPER)

Notice of Public Comment Period and Public Hearing

The Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) 2014 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation (CAPER) provides the community with an opportunity to review the use of CDBG funds located to the City of Manhattan by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) during the 2014 Program year.

The City encourages all interested citizens to review and comment on the 2014 CAPER. Beginning September 8, 2015 through September 23, 2015, the CAPER document will be available for review at the Community Development Department, 1101 Poyntz Avenue; the Manhattan Public Library, 629 Poyntz Avenue, and on the City's web page at www.cityofmnhk.com

On Wednesday, September 23, 2015, from 5:30 to 6:30 p.m., a public hearing will be held in the City Commission Room at City Hall to receive comments and answer questions from the public regarding the CDBG 2014 CAPER.

Comments may also be submitted in writing or by email (see below.)

City of Manhattan CDBG 2014 Projects

- Douglass Community Center Improvements
Flint Hills Breadbasket Improvements
Neighborhood Intersection Projects
Public Services Project Activities
Housing Rehabilitation Project Activities

For more information or to submit comments, please contact Christina L'Ecuyer, Grant Administrator, City of Manhattan Community Development Department (785) 587-2430 lecuyer@cityofmnhk.com 1101 Poyntz Avenue, Manhattan, KS 66502

This meeting is being held in the City Commission Room at City Hall. In accordance with provisions of the ADA, every attempt will be made to accommodate the needs of persons with disabilities. Please contact the Human Resources Department (587-2443) for assistance.

Stephen Stallwitz being first duly sworn, depose and say: that I am Advertising Director of The Manhattan Mercury, a daily newspaper printed in the State of Kansas, and published in and of general circulation in Riley County, Kansas, with a general paid circulation on a daily basis in Riley County, Kansas and that said newspaper is not a trade, religious or fraternal publication. Said newspaper is daily published at least weekly 50 times a year; has been published continuously and uninterrupted in said county and state for a period of more than five years prior to the first publication of said notice; and has been deposited at the post office of Manhattan in said County as second class matter. That the attached notice is a true copy thereof and was published in the regular and entire issue of said newspaper for one consecutive insertion the first publication thereof being made as aforesaid on the 6th day of September, 2015 with subsequent publications being made on the following dates:

On the ___ day of ___, 2015

On the ___ day of ___, 2015

On the ___ day of ___, 2015

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 15th day

September, 2015.

[Signature] Notary Public
[Signature] Notary Seal



In The Matter of NOTICE TO CITIZENS OPPORTUNITY FOR WORK

STATE OF KANSAS, RILEY COUNTY, ss

Printer's Fee \$ 154.70

Payment Date _____

NOTICE TO CITIZENS OPPORTUNITY FOR WORK September 2014

The City of Manhattan will soon be receiving federal funds through the Community Development Block Grant Entitlement Program. From time to time the City will use these funds to construct public improvements and will request bids from qualified contractors.

Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, as amended through 1994, provides that to the greatest extent feasible, preference for economic opportunities will be given to citizens in Manhattan who are determined to be low and moderate income individuals. A low or moderate income individual can be qualified by documenting household income of less than 80 percent of the county median income. Opportunities such as job training and employment that arise through this Community Development Block Grant funded projects will be directed toward Manhattan residents. Contractors can be eligible for a Section 3 Contract as awarded in connection with CDBG projects if they meet one of the following definitions:

- Business is owned by 51 percent or more Section 3 residents;
• Business employs Section 3 residents in full-time positions;
• Businesses who subcontract with other businesses which provide economic opportunity to Section 3 residents.

Section 3 requirements apply to the City as a grantee if the award received is over \$200,000, and to all contractors and subcontracts with awards over \$100,000 if the \$200,000 threshold is met.

If you wish to determine if you qualify, have an interest in job training or have an interest in serving as a subcontractor for future bids, please contact:

Christina L'Ecuyer, Grant Administrator
Community Development Department
1101 Poyntz Avenue Manhattan, KS 66502
LEcuyer@cityofmhc.com
(785) 587-2430
www.cityofmhc.com

I, Stephen Stallwitz being first duly sworn, depose and say: That I am Advertising Director of The Manhattan Mercury, a daily newspaper printed in the State of Kansas, and published in and of general circulation in Riley County, Kansas, with a general paid circulation on a daily basis in Riley County, Kansas and that said newspaper is not a trade, religious or fraternal publication. Said newspaper is a daily published at least weekly 50 times a year; has been so published continuously and uninterruptedly in said county and state for a period of more than five years prior to the first publication of said notice; and has been admitted at the post office of Manhattan in said County as second class matter. That the attached notice is a true copy thereof and was published in the regular and entire issue of said newspaper for one consecutive insertion the first publication thereof being made as aforesaid on the 28th day of September, 2014 with subsequent publications being made on the following dates:

On the ___ day of ___, 2014

On the ___ day of ___, 2014

On the ___ day of ___, 2014

[Handwritten signature]

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28th day of October, 2014.

[Handwritten signature] Notary Public

Notary Seal



In The Matter of NOTICE TO CITIZENS OPPORTUNITY FOR WORK

STATE OF KANSAS, RILEY COUNTY, ss

Printer's Fee \$ 141.56

Payment Date _____



**NOTICE TO CITIZENS
OPPORTUNITY FOR WORK
March 2015**

The City of Manhattan receives federal funds through the Community Development Block Grant Entitlement Program. From time to time the City uses these funds to construct public improvements and will request bids from qualified contractors.

Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, as amended through 1994, provides that to the greatest extent feasible, preference for economic opportunities will be given to citizens in Manhattan who are determined to be low and moderate income individuals. A low or moderate income individual can be qualified by documenting household income of less than 80 percent of the Manhattan area median income. Opportunities such as job training and employment that arise through these Community Development Block Grant funded projects will be directed toward Manhattan residents. Contractors can be eligible for a Section 3 Contract as awarded in connection with CDBG projects if they meet one of the following definitions:

- Business is owned by 51 percent or more Section 3 residents;
- Business employs Section 3 residents in full-time positions;
- Businesses who subcontract with other businesses which provide economic opportunity to Section 3 residents.

Section 3 requirements apply to the City as a grantee if the award received is over \$200,000, and to all contractors and subcontracts with awards over \$100,000 when the \$200,000 threshold is met.

If you wish to determine if you qualify, have an interest in job training or have an interest in serving as a subcontractor for future bids, please contact:

Christina L'Ecuyer, Grant Administrator
Community Development Department
1101 Poyntz Avenue Manhattan, KS 66502
LEcuyer@cityofmhc.com
(785) 587-2430
www.cityofmhc.com

I, Stephen Stallwitz being first duly sworn, depose and say: That I am Advertising Director of *The Manhattan Mercury*, a daily newspaper printed in the State of Kansas, and published in and of general circulation in Riley County, Kansas, with a general paid circulation on a daily basis in Riley County, Kansas and that said newspaper is not a trade, religious or fraternal publication. Said newspaper is a daily published at least weekly 50 times a year; has been so published continuously and uninterruptedly in said county and state for a period of more than five years prior to the first publication of said notice; and has been admitted at the post office of Manhattan in said County as second class matter. That the attached notice is a true copy thereof and was published in the regular and entire issue of said newspaper for one consecutive insertion the first publication thereof being made as aforesaid on the 22nd day of March, 2015 with subsequent publications being made on the following dates:

On the ___ day of ___, 2015

On the ___ day of ___, 2015

On the ___ day of ___, 2015

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of September, 2015.

Notary Public

Notary Seal



TABLE 3B ANNUAL HOUSING COMPLETION GOALS

ANNUAL AFFORDABLE RENTAL HOUSING GOALS (SEC. 215)	Annual Expected Number Completed	Resources used during the period			
		CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA
Acquisition of existing units		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Production of new units		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rehabilitation of existing units		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rental Assistance		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Sec. 215 Rental Goals		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ANNUAL AFFORDABLE OWNER HOUSING GOALS (SEC. 215)					
Acquisition of existing units		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Production of new units		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rehabilitation of existing units	10	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Homebuyer Assistance		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Sec. 215 Owner Goals		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ANNUAL AFFORDABLE HOUSING GOALS (SEC. 215)					
Homeless		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-Homeless		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Special Needs		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Sec. 215 Affordable Housing		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ANNUAL HOUSING GOALS					
Annual Rental Housing Goal		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Annual Owner Housing Goal	10	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Annual Housing Goal	10	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

For the purpose of identification of annual goals, an assisted household is one that will receive benefits through the investment of Federal funds, either alone or in conjunction with the investment of other public or private funds.

Housing Needs Table

Housing Needs - Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) Data Housing Problems

Grantee:	Only complete blue sections. Do NOT type in sections other than blue.												Disproportionate/Racial/Ethnic Disparities?	# of Households with a Disabled Member	Total Low Income, HIV/AIDS, Population		
	Current % of Households	Current Number of Households	3-5 Year Quantities						Priority Need?	Plan to Fund?	Fund Source	HSHLD %				# HSHLD	
			Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4*	Year 5*	Multi-Year									
	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	
Elderly	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	159															
	Any Housing Problems	49.7															
	Cost Burden > 30%	49.7															
	Cost Burden > 50%	28.3															
Renters	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	455															
	With Any Housing Problems	82.4															
	Cost Burden > 30%	75.8															
	Cost Burden > 50%	53.8															
Large Related	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	38															
	With Any Housing Problems	100.0															
	Cost Burden > 30%	89.5															
	Cost Burden > 50%	52.6															
All other hshld	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	2089															
	With Any Housing Problems	83.0															
	Cost Burden > 30%	82.3															
	Cost Burden > 50%	71.6															
Elderly	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	76															
	With Any Housing Problems	84.2															
	Cost Burden > 30%	84.2															
	Cost Burden > 50%	64.5															
Small Related	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	50															
	With Any Housing Problems	100.0															
	Cost Burden > 30%	100.0															
	Cost Burden > 50%	70.0															
Large Related	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	18															
	With Any Housing Problems	100.0															
	Cost Burden > 30%	100.0															
	Cost Burden > 50%	55.6															
All other hshld	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	119															
	With Any Housing Problems	96.6															
	Cost Burden > 30%	96.6															
	Cost Burden > 50%	84.0															
Elderly	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	95															
	With Any Housing Problems	47.4															
	Cost Burden > 30%	47.4															
	Cost Burden > 50%	36.8															
Small Related	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	549															
	With Any Housing Problems	68.1															
	Cost Burden > 30%	64.7															
	Cost Burden > 50%	14.6															
Large Related	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	14															
	With Any Housing Problems	71.4															
	Cost Burden > 30%	0.0															
	Cost Burden > 50%	0.0															
All other hshld	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	1545															
	With Any Housing Problems	73.8															
	Cost Burden > 30%	73.8															
	Cost Burden > 50%	24.9															



Summary of Specific Annual Objectives

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective Specific Annual Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
DH-1	Availability/Accessibility of Decent Housing						
DH-1 (1)	Specific Objective: Support public services that address the housing needs of low-and-moderate income persons and families.	CDBG	Number of persons or households that benefit from the services provided.	2010	0	0	#DIV/0!
		Source of Funds #2		2011	500	171	34%
		Source of Funds #3		2012	300	165	55%
				2013	100	272	272%
				2014	100	431	431%
		MULTI-YEAR GOAL			1,000	1039	104%
	Specific Annual Objective: Work with agencies and providers towards solving housing problems for all low-and-moderate income populations.	Source of Funds #1	Performance Indicator #2	2010			#DIV/0!
		Source of Funds #2		2011			#DIV/0!
		Source of Funds #3		2012			#DIV/0!
				2013			#DIV/0!
		2014				#DIV/0!	
	MULTI-YEAR GOAL				0		
	Source of Funds #1	Performance Indicator #3	2010			#DIV/0!	
Source of Funds #2	2011				#DIV/0!		
Source of Funds #3	2012				#DIV/0!		
	2013				#DIV/0!		
	2014				#DIV/0!		
	MULTI-YEAR GOAL				0		



Summary of Specific Annual Objectives

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective Specific Annual Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
DH-3	Sustainability of Decent Housing						
DH-3 (1)	Specific Objective: Create affordable opportunities for decent housing by preserving the existing housing stock	CDBG	Housing units rehabilitated for small related households.	2010	3	2	67%
				2011	2	0	0%
		Source of Funds #2		2012	3	3	100%
				2013	3	7	233%
		Source of Funds #3		2014	2	5	250%
		MULTI-YEAR GOAL			11	17	155%
	Specific Annual Objective: Comprehensively rehabilitate existing housing units for low or moderate income owners.	State Funded HOME Grant	Housing units rehabilitated for elderly households.	2010	6	3	50%
		Source of Funds #2		2011	2	1	50%
				2012	2	5	250%
		Source of Funds #3		2013	3	3	100%
		2014		2	6	300%	
	MULTI-YEAR GOAL			15	18	120%	
	CDBG	Housing units rehabilitated for all other households.	2010	2	0	0%	
			2011	2	5	250%	
Source of Funds #2	2012		3	12	400%		
	2013		4	8	200%		
	Source of Funds #3		2014	3	3	100%	
		MULTI-YEAR GOAL			14	28	200%



Summary of Specific Annual Objectives

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective Specific Annual Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed	
DH-3	Sustainability of Decent Housing							
DH-3 (2)	Specific Objective: Sustain existing owner-occupied housing for low or moderate income households.	CDBG	Number of housing units receiving accessibility modifications.	2010	3	0	0%	
				2011	2	1	50%	
		Source of Funds #2		2012	2	2	100%	
				2013	1	1	100%	
		Source of Funds #3		2014	1	3	300%	
				MULTI-YEAR GOAL		9	7	78%
	Specific Annual Objective: Rehabilitate housing units in need of accessibility modifications and emergency repairs for low-or-moderate income owners.	CDBG	Number of housing units receiving emergency repairs.	2010	5	1	20%	
				2011	2	9	450%	
		Source of Funds #2		2012	4	4	100%	
				2013	1	5	500%	
		Source of Funds #3		2014	1	5	500%	
				MULTI-YEAR GOAL		13	24	185%
		Source of Funds #1		Performance Indicator #3		2010		
			2011			#DIV/0!		
			2012			#DIV/0!		
			2013			#DIV/0!		
			2014			#DIV/0!		
			MULTI-YEAR GOAL		0	#DIV/0!		



Summary of Specific Annual Objectives

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
NR-1	Neighborhood Revitalization						
NR-1 (1)	Specific Objective: Improve the livability and safety of neighborhoods populated with 51% low or moderate income residents.	CDBG	Number of LMI persons with increased neighborhood connectivity and accessibility.	2010	3525	5075	144%
		Source of Funds #2		2011	0	0	#DIV/0!
				2012	2459	3165	129%
		Source of Funds #3		2013	963	705	73%
				2014	2107	3851	183%
		MULTI-YEAR GOAL		6000	12796	213%	
		Specific Annual Objective: Make infrastructure improvements to existing neighborhoods within LMI populations.		CDBG	Number of community facilities improved in LMI neighborhoods.	2010	1
	Source of Funds #2		2011	1		1	100%
			2012	1		3	300%
	Source of Funds #3		2013	2		2	100%
			2014	2		2	100%
	MULTI-YEAR GOAL		4	9		225%	
	Performance Indicator #3						#DIV/0!
	Performance Indicator #3					#DIV/0!	
Performance Indicator #3					#DIV/0!		
Performance Indicator #3					#DIV/0!		
Performance Indicator #3					#DIV/0!		
MULTI-YEAR GOAL					#DIV/0!		



Summary of Specific Annual Objectives

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective Specific Annual Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
SL-3	Sustainability of Suitable Living Environment						
SL-3 (1)	Specific Objective: Support community facilities that improve the quality of life for low-and-moderate income residents.	CDBG	Number of community facilities improved.	2010	1	1	100%
				2011	1	1	100%
		Source of Funds #2		2012	1	3	300%
				2013	1	2	200%
		Source of Funds #3		2014	1	3	300%
		MULTI-YEAR GOAL			5	10	200%
	Specific Annual Objective: Create or rehabilitate community facilities that serve low-and-moderate income areas, groups or limited clientele.	Source of Funds #1	Performance Indicator #2	2010			#DIV/0!
				2011		#DIV/0!	
		Source of Funds #2		2012			#DIV/0!
				2013			#DIV/0!
Source of Funds #3		2014				#DIV/0!	
	MULTI-YEAR GOAL				0		
	Source of Funds #1	Performance Indicator #3	2010			#DIV/0!	
			2011			#DIV/0!	
Source of Funds #2	2012				#DIV/0!		
			2013			#DIV/0!	
Source of Funds #3	2014				#DIV/0!		
	MULTI-YEAR GOAL				0		