



It is our policy to provide individuals with disabilities an equal opportunity to participate in, and enjoy the benefits of our services, programs, and activities. This meeting is being held in the City Commission Room at City Hall, 1101 Poyntz Avenue. In accordance with provisions of the ADA, every attempt will be made to accommodate the needs of the disabled. Please contact the Human Resources Department (587-2443) for assistance.

AGENDA

MANHATTAN BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

City Commission Room, City Hall

1101 Poyntz Avenue

Wednesday, November 9, 2016

7:00 PM

BOARD MEMBERS PLEASE NOTIFY THE PLANNING OFFICE IF YOU CANNOT ATTEND THIS MEETING.

1. Consider the **MINUTES** of the October 12, 2016, Board of Zoning Appeals meeting.
2. **Table the Public Hearing** to consider a CONDITIONAL USE under the terms of the Manhattan Zoning Ordinance of the City of Manhattan, Kansas, to allow for a proposed Bed and Breakfast Home at an existing house in an R-1, Single Family Residential District with a Traditional Neighborhood Overlay (TNO), located at 331 North 14th Street. (*Applicant/Owner: Barb Holste*)
3. **Table the Public Hearing** to consider an EXCEPTION under the terms of the Manhattan Zoning Ordinance of the City of Manhattan, Kansas, to allow for the reduction of the minimum required parking spaces from five (5) spaces to four (4) spaces for a propose Bed and Breakfast Home located within an R-1, Single Family Residential District, with a Traditional Neighborhood Overlay (TNO), located at 331 North 14th Street. (*Applicant/Owner: Barb Holste*)
4. **Consider a Request** for a 180 Day Extension of an approved CONDITIONAL USE to allow for the renovation and addition to the Theta Xi fraternity house on the corner of Laramie Street and Denison Avenue, within an R-1/TNO/UO, Single-Family Residential District with Traditional Neighborhood Overlay and University Overlay, located at 1803 Laramie Street. (*Applicant: Dan Crouch, Owner: Alpha Iota Alumni Association*)
5. A **PUBLIC HEARING** to consider an EXCEPTION under the terms of the Manhattan Zoning Ordinance of the City of Manhattan, Kansas, to allow for the reduction of the front yard setback for accessory uses from sixty (60) feet to sixteen (16) feet, as well as to allow for the reduction of the front yard setback from twenty-five (25) feet to sixteen (16) feet for a proposed detached single car garage associated with an existing home on a corner lot located within an R, Single Family Residential District, located at 1902 Blue Hills Road. (*Applicant/Owner: Ronald R. and Dixie L. West*). **The applicant is requesting an Exception to allow a detached garage in the**

front yard setback.

6. A **PUBLIC HEARING** to consider a VARIANCE under the terms of the Manhattan Zoning Ordinance of the City of Manhattan, Kansas, to allow for an existing gravel driveway leading to a proposed detached single car garage associated with an existing home on a corner lot located within an R, Single Family Residential District, located at 1902 Blue Hills Road. (*Applicant/Owner: Ronald R. and Dixie L. West*). **The applicant is requesting a Variance to allow an existing gravel driveway within the front yard.**

7. A **PUBLIC HEARING** to consider an EXCEPTION under the terms of the Manhattan Zoning Ordinance of the City of Manhattan, Kansas, to allow for the reduction of the front yard setback from twenty-five (25) feet to zero (0) feet for a proposed privacy screening fence located within a C-5 Highway Service Commercial District, located at 2121 Elm Lane. (*Applicant/Owner: Roger Seymour – Rock Springs Rentals, LLC*). **The applicant is requesting an Exception to allow a privacy screening fence in the front yard setback.**

8. A **PUBLIC HEARING** to consider : an EXCEPTION under the terms of the Manhattan Zoning Ordinance of the City of Manhattan, Kansas, to allow for the reduction of the front yard setback from twenty-five (25) feet to twenty (20) feet for a proposed addition to an existing attached garage on a home located within an R, Single Family Residential District, located at 1020 North Mill Point Circle. (*Applicant: Kristy Johnson – Ben Moore Studio, LLC; Owner: Joe & Carrie Urgan*). **The applicant is requesting an Exception to allow a garage addition in the front yard setback.**

9. A **PUBLIC HEARING** to consider: an EXCEPTION to allow for the reduction of the minimum required front yard setback to allow for a proposed garage addition onto the existing detached garage from sixty (60) feet to twenty-five (25) feet for a property located in the R, Single-Family Residential District, located at 221 Westwood Road. (*Applicant: Michael Carson – Michael Carson & Associates*). **The applicant is requesting an Exception to allow a garage addition in the front yard setback.**

10. A **PUBLIC HEARING** to consider: an EXCEPTION to allow for the reduction of the minimum required rear yard setback from twenty-five (25) feet to fifteen (15) feet to allow the existing house to come into compliance with the zoning regulations located in the R, Single-Family Residential District, located at 221 Westwood Road. (*Applicant: Michael Carson – Michael Carson & Associates*). **The applicant is requesting an Exception to bring an existing home into compliance with the zoning regulations.**

1. **ADJOURN**

Standards for Exceptions. The Board of Zoning Appeals shall not grant an exception as authorized in Section 14-604 hereof unless it shall, in each case, make specific written findings of fact, based upon the particular evidence presented to it, that all of the following standards have been met:

- (A) The property complies with all applicable requirements of these regulations, other than the one for which an exception is being requested;
- (B) The proposed exception will not cause a substantial adverse affect on nearby properties;
- (C) The exception desired will not adversely affect the public health, safety, morals, order, convenience, prosperity, or general welfare;
- (D) The strict application of these regulations is unreasonable, or unnecessary when all facts and circumstances are considered. In determining this standard, the Board shall weigh all facts and circumstances and place whatever emphasis and relevance it deems to be appropriate on each. Examples of such facts and circumstances to be considered are as follows:
 - (1) Whether or not conditions of the property requiring the exception were created by the applicant with prior knowledge and disregard of applicable regulations;
 - (2) Whether or not the applicant acquired the property with knowledge of the conditions which require the exception and whether or not the consideration for the acquisition took into account such conditions;
 - (3) Whether or not there are reasonable alternatives which would allow the property to meet the strict application of these regulations; and
 - (4) Whether or not a granting of the exception will result in a relative gain to the health, safety and general welfare of the Community; and,
- (E) Any other standards for exceptions that are specifically identified in these regulations.

Standards for Variances. The Board of Zoning Appeals shall not grant a variance as authorized in Section 14-501 hereof unless it shall, in each case, make specific written findings of fact, based upon the particular evidence presented to it, that all of the following standards have been met:

- (A) The variance requested arises from such condition which is unique to the property in question and which is not ordinarily found in the same zoning district, and is not created by an action or actions of the property owner or the applicant;
- (B) The granting of the variance will not adversely affect the rights of adjacent property owners or residents;
- (C) The strict application of the provisions of these regulations from which a variance is requested will constitute unnecessary hardship upon the property owner represented in the application;
- (D) The variance desired will not adversely affect the public health, safety, morals, order, convenience, prosperity, or general welfare; and
- (E) Granting the variance desired will not be opposed to the general spirit and intent of these regulations.

Standards For Conditional Use Permits. The Board of Zoning Appeals shall not grant a conditional use permit unless it shall, in each specific case, make specific written findings of fact directly, based upon the particular evidence presented to it, that all of the following standards have been met:

- (A) The proposed conditional use complies with all applicable regulations of these regulations, including lot size requirements, bulk regulations, use limitations, and performance standards.
- (B) The proposed conditional use will not cause substantial injury to the value of other property in the neighborhood in which it is to be located.
- (C) The location and size of the conditional use, the nature and intensity of the operation involved in or conducted in connection with it, and the location of the site with respect to streets giving access to it are such that the conditional use will not dominate the immediate neighborhood so as to prevent development and use of neighboring property in accordance with the applicable zoning district regulations. In determining whether the conditional use will so dominate the immediate neighborhood, consideration shall be given to:
 - (1) The location, nature and height of buildings, structures, walls and fences on the site; and
 - (2) The nature and extent of landscaping and screening on the site.
- (D) Off-street parking and loading areas will be provided in accordance with the standards set forth in Article VII of these regulations, and such areas will be screened from adjoining residential uses and located so as to protect such residential uses from any injurious effect.
- (E) Adequate utility, drainage, and other such necessary facilities have been or will be provided.
- (F) Adequate access roads or entrance and exit drives will be provided and shall be so designed to prevent traffic hazards and to minimize traffic congestion in public streets and alleys.